

5/021/026

**From:** Dave Rupp  
**To:** Wayne Hedberg  
**Date:** 2/26/98 7:26am  
**Subject:** Re: All Gypsum Corp, Jim Fain, Operator, Dry Creek Mine -Reply

**Wayne, I think if they are going to do stream alteration they should contact Greg Mladenka of Water Rights to reduce the impact, and to get a permit if necessary.**

>>> Dave Rupp 02/25/98 02:19pm >>>  
MEMO

TO: D. Wayne Hedberg  
Permit Supervisor, Minerals Regulatory Program  
Division of Oil Gas & Mining  
DWQ Ref Ltr 27

FROM: Dave Rupp, DWQ

DATE: Feb. 24, 1998

Subject: Jim Fain, All Gypsum Corporation  
Dry Creek Mine (Gypsum)  
S/021/026

We have reviewed a copy of an NOI to commence the subject small mining operations by letter from DOGM dated November 7, 1997. It does not appear that the operation, as described, will have any water quality impacts. It appears the operation will not have any process water. Please contact us if these conclusions appear incorrect.

L:Drupp\wp\mining

FILE: Mining DOGM

>>> Wayne Hedberg 02/25 4:58 PM >>>

Dave,  
Thanks for the email reply to the proposed Dry Creek Mine gypsum project. The only potential water quality related impacts that I can foresee via my site inspection are related to its close proximity to the Dry Creek ephemeral/intermittent drainage channel immediately east of the gypsum outcrop. Initially, I do not believe there will be much likelihood of potential water quality impacts (operations starting on the west side of the gypsum ridge outcrop) to the stream channel. As mining progresses to the east through the ridge there is a higher potential for overburden materials to be blasted and/or dozed into the channel. There may also be a stream ford (across Dry Creek) that the operator ultimately needs to create to access the gypsum deposit from east side of ridge. Not sure if this is a significant concern for your evaluation or not at this time. >>>

**CC:** Greg Mladenka